KIAS-P17011

Little strings on D_n orbifolds

Joonho Kim and Kimyeong Lee

School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study,
85 Hoegiro, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea.

E-mails: joonhokim@kias.re.kr, klee@kias.re.kr

arXiv:1702.03116v1 [hep-th] 10 Feb 2017

Abstract

We explore two classes of 6d $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ little string theories obtained from type IIA/IIB NS5-branes probing D_n singularities. Their tensor branches are described by effective gauge theories whose instanton solitons are macroscopic little strings. We specifically study two families of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ gauge theories which describe at low energy the worldsheet dynamics of the type IIA/IIB little strings. These gauge theories are useful to calculate the supersymmetric partition functions of the little string theories on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$. We establish the T-duality of the little string theories by utilizing their BPS spectra as a probe.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 IIA NS5-branes on D_n orbifolds **3**

2.1 Effective gauge theories 3

2.2 BPS partition functions on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$ 6

3 IIB NS5-branes at D_n orbifolds **13**

3.1 Effective gauge theories 13

3.2 BPS partition functions on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$ 15

4 T-duality in the BPS spectra **18**

5 Conclusion and discussions **23**

A Explicit expression for $\mathcal{F}_{4,1}$ **24**

1 Introduction

Little string theories (LSTs) are non-critical string theories defined in six dimensions [1–4]. They arise in the limit that the string coupling goes to zero, $g_s \to 0$, decoupling gravitational interactions. They exhibit several stringy properties including T-duality that identifies a pair of circle compactified LSTs. They depend on a scale parameter $m_s \sim (\alpha')^{-1/2}$ which determines the tension of little strings. In general, LSTs can be regarded as an "affine" extension of 6d superconformal field theories that adds an extra tensor multiplet [5, 6]. There are a vast number of LSTs found from various combinations of branes and/or geometric singularities in the decoupling limit $g_s \to 0$ [1–4, 7–10]. Broader classes of LSTs can be constructed from F-theory wrapped on non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds [5, 11–13].

In this work, we study two classes of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ LSTs engineered from type IIA/IIB NS5-branes probing $D_{n\geq 4}$ singularities. The D-type ALF spaces can be mapped via chains of string dualities to D6-O6 or NS5-ON^0 brane systems [14–17] from which one can derive the effective gauge theories. Recall that a non-gravitational $(1, 0)$ theory can have tensor, vector, and hypermultiplets. A tensor multiplet has the 2-form and scalar fields denoted by B_i and Φ_i. A vector multiplet has the vector field denoted by A_i. There are the same number of tensor and vector multiplets which are labeled by the index i. In the tensor branch where the scalars Φ_i obtain generic non-zero VEVs, a LST allows an effective gauge theory description whose inverse gauge couplings $1/g_i^2$ are set by $\langle\Phi_i\rangle$'s. The bosonic

part of the effective action for tensor and vector multiplets takes the form of

$$S_{\rm bos} = \int \Big(\tfrac{1}{2} a_{ij}\, d\Phi_i \wedge \star d\Phi_j + \tfrac{1}{2} a_{ij}\, H_i \wedge \star H_j - a_{ij}\, \Phi_i\, {\rm tr}(F_i \wedge \star F_i) + a_{ij}\, B_i\, {\rm tr}(F_j \wedge F_j) \Big). \tag{1.1}$$

H_i and F_i are the 3-form and 2-form field strengths defined as

$$H_i = dB_i + {\rm tr}\left(A_i\, dA_i - \tfrac{i}{3} (A_i\, [A_i, A_i]) \right), \quad F_i = dA_i - \tfrac{i}{2}\, {\rm tr}[A_i, A_i], \tag{1.2}$$

which are invariant under the gauge transformation $\delta A_i = D\epsilon_i$ and $\delta B_i = -{\rm tr}(\epsilon_i\, dA_i)$. We regard the action as providing the field equations by varying the two-forms B_i, while imposing the self-duality condition $H_i = \star H_i$ on their solutions by hand. Note that $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ multiplets are all chiral, contributing to the 1-loop anomalies. For consistency at quantum level, the 1-loop gauge anomaly needs be cancelled with the tree-level gauge anomaly that arises from the last term in the action, i.e.,

$$\delta S_{\rm bos} = -a_{ij} \int {\rm tr}(\epsilon_i\, dA_i) \wedge {\rm tr}(F_j \wedge F_j). \tag{1.3}$$

This is the Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation mechanism [18, 19], which works when the 1-loop anomaly polynomial is in the factorized form such that $I_{\text{1-loop}} = \frac{1}{2} a_{ij}\ {\rm tr}(F_i \wedge F_i) \wedge {\rm tr}(F_j \wedge F_j)$.

The symmetric matrix a_{ij} specifies the Dirac pairing between various 2-form charges. The common feature of LSTs is that a_{ij} has precisely one null eigenvector n_i [5, 6]. The linear combinations of the tensor multiplet fields, $\mathcal{B} = \sum n_i B_i$ and $\Phi = \sum n_i \Phi_i$, have vanishing kinetic terms. The VEV of the non-dynamical scalar defines the UV cutoff of the effective gauge theory, i.e., $\langle \Phi \rangle = m_s^2$, rather than participating into the tensor branch [5]. And also, the non-dynamical 2-form $\mathcal{B}$ cannot participate in the Green-Schwarz mechanism, so the gauge anomaly of one gauge node must vanish by itself [5, 6].

Little strings are electric/magnetic sources of 2-form tensors B_i with tension proportional to $\langle \Phi_i \rangle$'s. The equations of motion for B_i's are given by

$$dH_i = d(\star H_i) = {\rm tr}(F_i \wedge F_i). \tag{1.4}$$

The instanton solutions of the effective gauge theories supply non-zero ${\rm tr}(F_i \wedge F_i)$. They are macroscopic string configurations extended over the $\mathbf{R}^{1,1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{1,5}$ directions, whose tension is set by the effective gauge coupling $1/g_i^2 \sim \langle \Phi_i \rangle$. Their instanton numbers are measured by $k_i = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^4} {\rm tr}(F_i \wedge F_i) \in \mathbf{Z}$ whose integral is taken over the transverse $\mathbf{R}^4$ directions. They satisfy $F_i = \pm \star_4 F_i$ and $H_i = \mp \star_4 d\Phi_i$ for $k_i \gtrless 0$ in which upper/lower symbols are correlated. They are little string solutions of the LSTs. We always consider the self-dual instanton solitons ($k_i > 0$) from here on.

Based on the effective gauge theory description, the low energy dynamics of little strings is governed by the non-linear sigma model [20]. However, the sigma model description cannot be UV-complete since its target space is the instanton moduli space, involving small instanton singularities. For certain classes of 6d gauge theories, the ADHM construction provides a prescription for obtaining a UV-complete worldsheet gauge theory from the non-linear sigma model [21]. It usually agrees with the string theory realization of instanton solitons and underlying gauge theories. The resulting UV

gauge theory is particularly useful to compute SUSY-protected observables, such as the elliptic genera of little strings. The brane configurations associated to our LSTs will be discussed in Sections 2 and 3, from which we derive the 6d/2d gauge theories and compute the BPS partition functions.

We study the BPS spectrum of a circle compactified LST on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$ in the large radius regime, where each sector with a fixed number of strings gets decoupled from others. The elliptic genera of the worldsheet gauge theories capture the BPS spectra of individual winding sectors. Such protected observables are naturally expected to be valid also at any radius. The BPS partition function provides a powerful probe to explore T-duality of LSTs. T-duality equates a pair of circle compactified theories, whose radii of circles are related as $R_A = m_s^{-2}/R_B$, with an interchange of winding and momentum states. A dual pair of LSTs are therefore expected to have the same SUSY partition function. We establish the T-duality relation of the BPS spectra in the LSTs obtained from IIA/IIB NS5-branes on D_n singularities. As a byproduct, our result verifies the 5d/6d dualities involving D_n singularities, discussed in [22–24]. Similar studies on T-duality of LSTs were already made in [25–28] for the $(2,0)$ LSTs and the $(1,0)$ LSTs associated to A_n singularities.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and 3, we derive the 6d/2d gauge theories from the brane configurations and compute the BPS partition functions on Omega-deformed $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$. In Section 4, we study T-duality relation between the LSTs in their BPS spectra. Concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

2 IIA NS5-branes on D_n orbifolds

2.1 Effective gauge theories

Recall that an S-duality transformation, also known as a "9-11 flip", maps type IIA string theory on the D_n-type ALF space to the brane construction involving n D6-branes on top of an O6$^-$ plane [14]. If the ALF space fills out the $x^6, \cdots x^9$ directions, the D6-branes and O6$^-$ plane are extended over the $x^0, \cdots, x^5, x^{9'}$ directions where $x^{9'}$ denotes the M-theory circle in the original background. We now introduce N NS5-branes which span the $x^0, \cdots, x^5$ directions and intersect the O6$^-$ plane. An NS5-brane meeting an O6-plane can split into two $\frac{1}{2}$ NS5-branes [29]. Moreover, a $\frac{1}{2}$ NS5-brane provides a discrete torsion of the Kalb-Ramond field, alternating O6$^-$ and O6$^+$ planes. Four D6-branes are simultaneously created or annihilated due to the RR charge conservation [29]. The final brane configuration is illustrated in Figure 1.

From the brane system, we derive the effective gauge theory for the LSTs engineered from IIA NS5-branes probing the D_n-type ALF space. The background preserves the 6d Lorentz symmetry $SO(1,5)_{012345}$ and the $SO(3)_{789}$ global symmetry, rotating the x^7, x^8, x^9 directions. One can decompose $SO(1,5)_{012345} \to SO(1,1)_{01} \times SU(2)_{1L} \times SU(2)_{1R}$, where $SU(2)_{1L/1R}$ generate self-dual/anti-self-dual rotations of the four-plane spanning the $x^2, \cdots, x^5$ directions. We denote the doublet indices of $SU(2)_{1L}$, $SU(2)_{1R}$, $SU(2)_R \cong SO(3)_{789}$ by $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, A$ respectively. The 32 supercharges of ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ supersymmetry can be written as $Q^{\alpha A}_{\pm\pm}$ and $Q^{\dot{\alpha} A}_{\pm\pm}$, where the first/second



	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9′
D6-O6	•	•	•	•	•	•				•
NS5	•	•	•	•	•	•				
D2	•	•								•

Figure 1: NS5-D6-O6 brane system at $N = 2$.

subscripts express eigenvalues of Γ^{01} and $\Gamma^{9'}$ respectively. The presence of NS5-, O6-, D6-branes imposes two SUSY projectors, Γ^{012345} and $\Gamma^{9'}$, leaving $Q^{\alpha A}_{++}$ and $Q^{\dot{\alpha} A}_{-+}$. These surviving generators satisfy the six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SUSY algebra that contains $SU(2)_R \cong SO(3)_{789}$ as R-symmetry.

The gauge symmetry comes from $2N$ stacks of D6-branes on top of O6-planes. As each stack of O6- and D6-branes is a finite segment ending on $\frac{1}{2}$ NS5-branes, the brane configuration engineers a six-dimensional circular quiver gauge theory with $2N$ nodes. There are two types of gauge nodes. First, n D6-branes plus an O6$^-$ plane induce an $SO(2n)$ gauge symmetry. Second, $(n-4)$ D6-branes plus an O6$^+$ plane induce an $Sp(n-4)$ gauge symmetry. The total gauge group is therefore an alternating product of $SO(2n)$ and $Sp(n-4)$ having $2N$ nodes. We label the $SO(2n)$ nodes by odd integers and the $Sp(n-4)$ nodes by even integers.

Open strings connecting various D6-branes have massless excitation modes, corresponding to field contents in the circular quiver gauge theory. Each gauge node contains an adjoint vector multiplet. Its bosonic action, coupled to $2N$ tensor multiplets, takes the form of (1.1) with

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} +4 & \text{if } i = j = (\text{odd}) \\ +1 & \text{if } i = j = (\text{even}) \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j \pm 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } N > 1, \qquad a_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} +4 & -2 \\ -2 & +1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } N = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

where $i, j \in \mathbf{Z} \pmod{2N}$. Each adjacent pair of gauge nodes is connected by a bifundamental half-hypermultiplet. A half-hypermultiplet is a hypermultiplet in a pseudo-real representation, whose number of fields is halved by the reality condition. Note that a half-hypermultiplet is always massless since a mass term is incompatible with the reality condition. The quiver diagram for the effective gauge theory is given in Figure 2a, in which a solid line represents a half-hypermultiplet. The 6d gauge anomaly is cancelled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism [18, 19].

Little string configurations are introduced in the brane system as an array of $2N$ D2-brane stacks, occupying the $x^0, x^1, x^{9'}$ directions. Each stack is a finite segment along the $x^{9'}$ direction connecting an adjacent pair of $\frac{1}{2}$ NS5-branes. For example, the i-th D2-brane stack realizes instanton strings in the i-th gauge node, which are also fractional little strings charged under the i-th tensor multiplet. Every distinct configuration of little strings can be labeled by $(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{2N})$ where k_i denotes the number of full/half D2-branes for odd/even i respectively.



(a) 6d (b) 2d

Figure 2: Quiver diagrams for 6d/2d gauge theories on D6/D2-branes at $N = 2$.

The two-dimensional gauge theory supported on the D2-branes provides the effective description for an individual winding sector in the LSTs. It inherits the $SU(2)_{1L} \times SU(2)_{1R} \times SU(2)_R$ global symmetry from the underlying 6d theory. It preserves the 4 supercharges $Q^{\dot{\alpha}A}_{-+}$, surviving after imposing an additional SUSY projector Γ^{01} obtained from D2-branes. Note that $Q^{\dot{\alpha}A}_{-+}$ satisfies 2d $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ SUSY algebra which incorporates the $SO(4) \cong SU(2)_{1R} \times SU(2)_R$ as R-symmetry. It also captures the 6d gauge symmetry as flavor symmetry.

Each stack of D2-branes supports a symplectic or an orthogonal gauge symmetry, depending on the type of orientifold plane. More precisely, k full/half D2-branes on an O6$^-$/O6$^+$ plane support an $Sp(k)/O(k)$ gauge symmetry. The worldsheet gauge theory of $(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{2N})$ little strings is therefore an orthosymplectic circular quiver theory, whose gauge group is given by $Sp(k_1) \times O(k_2) \times \cdots \times Sp(k_{2N-1}) \times O(k_{2N})$. The field contents of the gauge theory are determined from massless modes of open strings ending on D2-branes. We summarize them as $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ supermultiplets in Table 1. The quiver diagram for the worldsheet gauge theory is also presented in Figure 2b, where $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ hyper, twisted hyper, Fermi multiplets are denoted by black solid, blue solid, pink dashed lines. Although the theory is chiral, the field contents precisely cancel the gauge anomaly. The two-dimensional gauge anomaly is proportional to $\sum_\psi D_{\mathbf{R}[\psi]}$ where ψ labels all chiral fermions and $\mathbf{R}[\psi]$ are their gauge representations. The index $D_{\mathbf{R}}$ is defined as $\mathrm{Tr}(T^a_{\mathbf{R}} T^b_{\mathbf{R}}) = D_{\mathbf{R}} \delta^{ab}$. In our cases,

$$\begin{aligned} Sp(k_i) \text{ node} &: -4(2k_i+2) + 4(2k_i-2) + 4n - 2(2n-8) + 2(k_{i+1}+k_{i-1}) - 2(k_{i+1}+k_{i-1}) = 0 \\ O(k_i) \text{ node} &: -4(2k_i-2) + 4(2k_i+2) + 2(2n-8) - 4n + 4(k_{i+1}+k_{i-1}) - 4(k_{i+1}+k_{i-1}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

showing that our $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ gauge theory is anomaly-free.

Sp-type node (odd i):

Type	Field	Representation
vector	$(A_\mu, \lambda_-^{\dot\alpha A})$	**adj** of $Sp(k_i)$
hyper	$(a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, \psi_+^{\alpha A})$	**anti** of $Sp(k_i)$
hyper	$(q_{\dot\alpha}, \psi_+^A)$	**bif** of $Sp(k_i) \times SO(2n)$
Fermi	$(\chi_-)_1, (\chi_-)_2$	**bif** of $Sp(k_i) \times Sp(n-4)$
twisted hyper	$(\varphi_{\dot\alpha}, \mu_+^A)$	**bif** of $Sp(k_i) \times O(k_{i+1})$
Fermi	$(\mu_-^\alpha)_1, (\mu_-^\alpha)_2$	**bif** of $Sp(k_i) \times O(k_{i+1})$

O-type node (even i):

Type	Field	Representation
vector	$(A_\mu, \lambda_-^{\dot\alpha A})$	**adj** of $O(k_i)$
hyper	$(a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, \psi_+^{\alpha A})$	**sym** of $O(k_i)$
hyper	$(q_{\dot\alpha}, \psi_-^A)$	**bif** of $O(k_i) \times Sp(n-4)$
Fermi	$(\chi_1), (\chi_2)$	**bif** of $O(k_i) \times SO(2n)$
twisted hyper	$(\varphi_{\dot\alpha}, \mu_+^A)$	**bif** of $O(k_i) \times Sp(k_{i+1})$
Fermi	$(\mu_-^\alpha)_1, (\mu_-^\alpha)_2$	**bif** of $O(k_i) \times Sp(k_{i+1})$

Table 1: Field contents of 2d gauge theories on D2-branes. The index i is taken modulo $2N$.

2.2 BPS partition functions on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$

The 6d effective gauge theories are useful to study the BPS spectra of the LSTs on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$. For fixed $N \geq 1$ and $n \geq 4$, the SUSY partition function is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,N} = \mathrm{Tr}\left[(-1)^F q^{H_L} \bar{q}^{H_R} t^{J_{1R}+J_R} u^{J_{1L}} \prod_{i=1}^{2N} \left(\mathfrak{n}_i^{k_i} \prod_{\ell_i=1}^{r_i} (w_{i,\ell_i})^{F_{i,\ell_i}} \right) \right]. \tag{2.3}$$

$H_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(H \pm P)$ are the left/right-moving Hamiltonians. With $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ SUSY, the right-moving Hamiltonian can be written as $H_R \sim \{Q, Q^\dagger\}$ where $Q \equiv Q_{-+}^{\dot 1 2}$ and $Q^\dagger \equiv -Q_{-+}^{\dot 2 1}$. J_{1L}, J_{1R}, J_R are the Cartan generators for $SU(2)_{1L}$, $SU(2)_{1R}$, $SU(2)_R$ global symmetries. k_i is an instanton charge of the i-th gauge node, which counts the number of the i-th fractional little strings. $F_{i,\ell_i=1,\cdots,r_i}$ are the Cartan generators of i-th gauge group of rank r_i. We introduce a fugacity variable for each combination of the Cartan generators that commutes with Q and $Q^\dagger$. Besides k_i and $F_{i,\ell_i=1,\cdots,r_i}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, 2N$, there exist two more commuting combinations: $J_{1R} + J_R$, J_{1L}. The fugacity variables are often expressed in terms of the chemical potentials, defined as follows:

$$q = e^{2\pi i \tau},\ \bar{q} = e^{2\pi i \bar\tau},\ t = e^{2\pi i \epsilon_+},\ u = e^{2\pi i \epsilon_-},\ w_{i,\ell_i} = e^{2\pi i \alpha_{i,\ell_i}}. \tag{2.4}$$

The chemical potentials $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}{2}$ and $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-}{2}$ put the LST on an Omega-deformed background. They are IR regulators which generate an effective mass gap for the center-of-mass motion on $\mathbf{R}^4$.

The background holonomies α_{i,ℓ_i} of the 6d gauge symmetry fractionalize the circle momenta.

The partition function $\mathcal{I}_{n,N}$ counts the BPS states annihilated by Q and $Q^\dagger$, carrying the left-moving momenta and the winding numbers. If one takes the large radius limit of the compactified x^1 direction, along which little strings are stretched, every winding sector with fixed $(k_1, \cdots, k_{2N})$ acquires the ground state energy much greater than the energy scale of the circle momenta. The full BPS spectrum is therefore factorized into individual winding sectors. In addition, each sector with definite winding numbers can be studied through the two-dimensional gauge theory on the array of D2-branes. It implies that

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,N} = I^0_{n,N} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{2N}=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{n}_1^{k_1} \cdots \mathfrak{n}_{2N}^{k_{2N}} \cdot I^{k_1,\cdots,k_{2N}}_{n,N} \right), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_i$ denotes the fugacity for the i-th winding number. $I^0_{n,N}$ captures the BPS spectrum of the pure momentum sector. $I^{k_1,\cdots,k_{2N}}_{n,N}$ is the BPS index of the sector with $(k_1, \cdots, k_{2N})$ winding numbers, which becomes the elliptic genus of the two-dimensional gauge theory of $(k_1, \cdots, k_{2N})$ D2-branes.

The pure momentum sector can be described by the perturbative 6d gauge theory, decoupled from instanton strings. The perturbative partition function $I^0_{n,N}$ collects the contribution from each $(1,0)$ supermultiplet in a multiplicative way. It takes the form of a plythestic exponential, i.e.,

$$I^0_{n,N} = \mathrm{PE}\left[f^0_{n,N}(q,t,u,w_{i,\ell_i}) \right] \equiv \exp\left(\textstyle\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \cdot f^0_{n,N}(q^p,t^p,u^p,w^p_{i,\ell_i}) \right) \tag{2.6}$$

where the single-particle index $f^0_{n,N}$ can be obtained as the trace

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[(-1)^F q^{H_L} \bar{q}^{H_R} t^{J_{1R}+J_R} u^{J_{1L}} \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{2N} \prod_{\ell_i=1}^{r_i} (w_{i,\ell_i})^{F_{i,\ell_i}} \right] \tag{2.7}$$

over the fields, multiplied by the factor from the translational zero modes on the $\mathbf{R}^4$

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi\epsilon_1) \cdot \sin^2(\pi\epsilon_2)} = \frac{t^2}{(1-tu)^2(1-tu^{-1})^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The traces over $(1,0)$ SUSY multiplets are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{tensor}: \quad (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_+ \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_+ \oplus (\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_- \;\to\; (u+u^{-1})(u+u^{-1}-t-t^{-1}) \left(\textstyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n\right)^+ \\
&\text{vector}: \quad (\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})_+ \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})_- \;\to\; (t+t^{-1})(u+u^{-1}-t-t^{-1}) \left(\chi_{\mathbf{R}}(w_{i,\ell_i}) \textstyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n\right)^+ \\
&\text{hyper}: \quad 2(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_+ \oplus 2(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_- \;\to\; 2(t+t^{-1}-u-u^{-1}) \left(\chi_{\mathbf{R}}(w_{i,\ell_i}) \textstyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n\right)^+
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The triples denote the $SU(2)_{1L}$, $SU(2)_{1R}$, $SU(2)_R$ representations of component fields. The $\pm$ subscript shows if a component field is a boson or fermion. $\mathbf{R}$ is the gauge representation of a given supermultiplet. The superscript in the parenthesis indicates that all non-BPS states carrying non-positive momentum must be discarded. In our cases, a vector multiplet is in the adjoint representation

of $SO(2n)$ or $Sp(n-4)$, for which the parenthesis becomes

$$\begin{aligned} SO(2n): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_i<\ell_j}^{n}\left(\frac{w_{i,\ell_i}}{w_{i,\ell_j}}+w_{i,\ell_i}w_{i,\ell_j}+\frac{q}{w_{i,\ell_i}w_{i,\ell_j}}+\frac{qw_{i,\ell_j}}{w_{i,\ell_i}}\right)+nq\right]\cdot\frac{1}{1-q} \\ Sp(n-4): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_i<\ell_j}^{n-4}\left(\frac{w_{i,\ell_i}}{w_{i,\ell_j}}+w_{i,\ell_i}w_{i,\ell_j}+\frac{q}{w_{i,\ell_i}w_{i,\ell_j}}+\frac{qw_{i,\ell_j}}{w_{i,\ell_i}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-4}\left(w_{i,\ell_i}^2+\frac{q^2}{w_{i,\ell_i}^2}\right)+(n-4)q\right]\cdot\frac{1}{1-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

A half-hypermultiplet is in the bifundamental representation of $SO(2n)\times Sp(n-4)$ or $Sp(n-4)\times SO(2n)$ which satisfies the pseudo-reality condition. The parenthesis becomes

$$\begin{aligned} SO(2n)\times Sp(n-4): &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{\ell_i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i,\ell_i}+\frac{q}{w_{i,\ell_i}}\right)\cdot\sum_{\ell_{i+1}=1}^{n-4}\left(w_{i+1,\ell_{i+1}}+\frac{q}{w_{i+1,\ell_{i+1}}}\right)\right]\cdot\frac{1}{1-q} \\ Sp(n-4)\times SO(2n): &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{\ell_i=1}^{n-4}\left(w_{i,\ell_i}+\frac{q}{w_{i,\ell_i}}\right)\cdot\sum_{\ell_{i+1}=1}^{n}\left(w_{i+1,\ell_i+1}+\frac{q}{w_{i+1,\ell_i+1}}\right)\right]\cdot\frac{1}{1-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

One obtains the final expression of $f^0_{n,N}$ by adding up all products of (2.8) and (2.9). (2.6) gives $I^0_{n,N}$.

We now turn to an individual sector with fixed winding numbers $(k_1,\cdots,k_{2N})$. It can be studied from the two-dimensional gauge theory on the array of D2-branes, introduced in Section 2.1. The elliptic genus of the D2-brane gauge theory, $I^{k_1,\cdots,k_{2N}}_{n,N}$, displays the BPS spectrum of the $(k_1,\cdots,k_{2N})$ winding sector. We follow [30, 31] for computing the elliptic genera of two-dimensional gauge theories via path integral localization. The path integral of a gauge theory can be evaluated in the weak coupling regime by performing Gaussian integrations around saddle points. The saddle points are parametrized by the gauge holonomies $A_0+\tau A_1$ on T^2, classified by eigenvalues of all commuting pairs of gauge group elements. For $Sp(k)$ gauge group,

$$A_0+\tau A_1=\mathrm{diag}\,(\pm\phi_1,\pm\phi_2,\cdots,\pm\phi_k)\quad\text{where}\quad \phi_i\in\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.12}$$

$O(k)$ group allows discrete holonomies. All disconnected holonomy sectors are classified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} O(1): &\ \{0,\ \tfrac{1}{2},\ \tfrac{1+\tau}{2},\ \tfrac{\tau}{2}\} \\ O(2): &\ \{\mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1),\ \mathrm{diag}(0,\tfrac{\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(0,\tfrac{1}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\tfrac{\tau}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(0,\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{\tau}{2})\} \\ O(2p+1): &\ \{\mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_p,0),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2},\tfrac{\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_p,\tfrac{\tau}{2}), \\ &\quad \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2},0),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_p,\tfrac{1}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{\tau}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2},0), \\ &\quad \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_p,\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},0,\tfrac{\tau}{2},\tfrac{1}{2})\} \qquad \text{for } p\geq 1 \\ O(2p): &\ \{\mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_p),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-2},0,\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2},\tfrac{\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},0,\tfrac{\tau}{2}), \\ &\quad \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},0,\tfrac{1}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{\tau}{2},\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}), \\ &\quad \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},0,\tfrac{1+\tau}{2}),\ \mathrm{diag}(\pm\phi_1,\cdots,\pm\phi_{p-1},\tfrac{\tau}{2},\tfrac{1}{2})\} \qquad \text{for } p\geq 2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\phi_i\in\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$. We obtain the one-loop determinant $Z_{\text{1-loop}}$ as the result of Gaussian integrals over massive fluctuations around a saddle point. $Z_{\text{1-loop}}$ is the product of the one-loop determinants for various $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ supermultiplets, which can be written as

$$Z_{\text{vector}}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}2\pi\eta\,\theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\,d\phi_i\prod_{\rho\in\mathbf{root}}\frac{\theta_1(\rho\cdot\phi)\,\theta_1(\rho\cdot\phi+2\epsilon_+)}{\eta^2}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$Z_{\text{Fermi}} = \prod_{\rho\in\mathbf{rep_g}} \prod_{\kappa\in\mathbf{rep_f}} \frac{\theta_1(\rho\cdot\phi+\kappa\cdot z)}{\eta} \tag{2.15}$$

$$Z_{\text{hyper}} = \prod_{\rho\in\mathbf{rep_g}} \prod_{\kappa\in\mathbf{rep_f}} \frac{\eta}{\theta_1(+\epsilon_+ +\rho\cdot\phi+\kappa\cdot z)}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$Z_{\text{twisted hyper}} = \prod_{\rho\in\mathbf{rep_g}} \prod_{\kappa\in\mathbf{rep_f}} \frac{\eta}{\theta_1(-\epsilon_+ +\rho\cdot\phi+\kappa\cdot z)}. \tag{2.17}$$

ρ is the gauge charge in the representation $\mathbf{rep_g}$ of a field. κ collectively denotes the charge of $SU(2)_{1L}$ global symmetry and $(SO(2n)\times Sp(n-4))^N$ flavor symmetry in the representation $\mathbf{rep_f}$ of a field. The conjugate chemical potentials, i.e., ϵ_- and α_{i,ℓ_i}, are collectively denoted as z. Note that the 1-loop determinants of real scalars and fermions involve square roots of θ's. As they are always paired, we rearranged them as $\sqrt{\theta_1(x+y)\theta_1(-x-y)}\sim\theta_1(x+y)$ in (2.14)-(2.17). After multiplying these factors, we integrate over the zero modes which are the eigenvalues ϕ_i of the gauge holonomies. It is the contour integral which can be done by summing all Jeffrey-Kirwan residues, explained in [30, 31]. We sum over all disconnected holonomy backgrounds, divided by the Weyl group order $\prod_{i=1}^{2N}|W_i|$.

$$I_{n,N}^{k_1,\cdots,k_{2N}} = \sum_{\text{holonomy}} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} r_i}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{2N}|W_i|} \oint Z_{1-\text{loop}} \tag{2.18}$$

$|W_i|$ is the order of Weyl group for the i-th gauge node in a given holonomy background.

$$\begin{aligned} &|W_{Sp(k)}| = 2^k k!, && |W_{O(2p+1)_1}| = 2^{p+1}p!, && |W_{O(2p+1)_3}| = 2^{p+2}(p-1)! \\ &|W_{O(2p)_0}| = 2^p p!, && |W_{O(2p)_2}| = 2^{p+1}(p-1)!, && |W_{O(2p)_4}| = 2^{p+2}(p-2)! \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

The subscript ι in $O(k)_\iota$ denotes the number of discrete holonomies in the background. Finally, one can obtain the full partition function $\mathcal{I}_{n,N}$ using (2.5).

Result: 1 NS5-brane on D_n singularity

Let us specifically consider the LSTs obtained from 1 NS5-brane probing D_n singularity. There are two types of fractional little strings, realized in the brane set-up as k_1 full D2-branes and k_2 half D2-branes. We study the BPS partition functions of specific winding sectors, up to $k_1\le 1$ and $k_2\le 2$ that corresponds to a fully wound D2-brane.

$(\mathbf{k_1},\mathbf{k_2})=(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ The BPS spectrum of the pure momentum sector is captured by the perturbative partition function of the 6d effective gauge theory. Using (2.6)-(2.11), $I^0_{n,1}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PE}\Bigg[&-\frac{(1+t^2)}{(1-tu^{\pm})}\cdot\left\{\sum_{a<b}^{n}\left(\frac{w_{1,a}}{w_{1,b}}+w_{1,a}w_{1,b}+\frac{q}{w_{1,a}w_{1,b}}+\frac{qw_{1,b}}{w_{1,a}}\right)+nq\right\}\cdot\frac{1}{1-q} \\ &-\frac{(1+t^2)}{(1-tu^{\pm})}\cdot\left\{\sum_{a<b}^{n-4}\left(\frac{w_{2,a}}{w_{2,b}}+w_{2,a}w_{2,b}+\frac{q}{w_{2,a}w_{2,b}}+\frac{qw_{2,b}}{w_{2,a}}\right)+\sum_{a=1}^{n-4}\left(w_{2,a}^2+\frac{q^2}{w_{2,a}^2}\right)+(n-4)q\right\}\cdot\frac{1}{1-q} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$- \frac{2\,t(u+u^{-1})}{(1-tu^{\pm})} \cdot \frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{2\,t}{(1-tu^{\pm})} \cdot \Big\{ \sum_{a=1}^{n} \Big(w_{1,a} + \frac{q}{w_{1,a}} \Big) \cdot \sum_{b=1}^{n-4} \Big(w_{2,b} + \frac{q}{w_{2,b}} \Big) \Big\} \cdot \frac{1}{1-q} \Big],$$

where we used the $\pm$ notation: $(1-xy^{\pm}) \equiv (1-xy)(1-xy^{-1})$.

$(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2})$ These winding sectors correspond to multiple E-strings (for $n = 4$) or $Sp(n-4)$ instanton strings (for $n > 4$). Their elliptic genera are written in [32–37]. For $k_2 = 1$,

$$I^{0,1}_{n,1} = -\frac{\eta^{-6}}{2\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)} \sum_{m=1}^{4} \Big(\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a})}{\prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \Big) \tag{2.21}$$

where the abbreviated notation $\theta_m(x \pm y) \equiv \theta_m(x+y)\theta_m(x-y)$ is used. For $k_2 = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I^{0,2}_{n,1} = &+ \frac{\eta^{-12}}{\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)} \Bigg[\sum_{m=1}^{4} \Big(\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a} - \frac{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}{2})^2}{4\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ + 2\epsilon_-)\theta_1(-2\epsilon_-) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_m(\pm\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b} - \frac{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}{2})} + (\epsilon_- \to -\epsilon_-) \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{c=1}^{n-4} \Big(\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_1(\epsilon_+ + \alpha_{2,c} \pm \alpha_{1,a})^2}{2\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_- \pm 2(\alpha_{2,c} - \epsilon_+))\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ - 2\alpha_{2,c})\theta_1(2\alpha_{2,c}) \prod_{b \neq c}^{n-4} \theta_1(2\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{2,c} \pm \alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(\alpha_{2,c} \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \\ &\qquad + (\alpha_{2,c} \to -\alpha_{2,c}) \Big) + \sum_{(m,p,r) \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{\theta_m(0)\theta_m(2\epsilon_+) \prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_p(\pm\alpha_{1,a})\theta_r(\pm\alpha_{1,a})}{4\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)\theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_p(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})\theta_r(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \Bigg] \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathcal{S} = \{(2,1,2), (3,1,3), (4,1,4), (2,3,4), (3,4,2), (4,2,3)\}$.

$(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0})$ This sector corresponds to an $SO(2n)$ instanton string. The elliptic genus is [38]

$$I^{1,0}_{n,1} = \frac{\eta^{12}}{2\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ + 2\alpha_{1,q})\theta_1(4\epsilon_+ + 2\alpha_{1,q}) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_1(\pm\alpha_{2,b} \pm (\epsilon_+ + \alpha_{1,a}))}{\prod_{c \neq a}^{n} \theta_1(2\epsilon_+ + \alpha_{1,a} \pm \alpha_{1,c})\theta_1(-\alpha_{1,a} \pm \alpha_{1,c})}. \tag{2.22}$$

$(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ This winding sector is described by the two-dimensional $O(1) \times Sp(1)$ gauge theory. Its elliptic genus is given as the one-dimensional contour integral

$$- \oint d\phi \, \frac{\eta^9\, \theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(\pm 2\phi)\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ \pm 2\phi) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_1(\pm\phi \pm \alpha_{2,b})}{4\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)^2 \prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \phi \pm \alpha_{1,a})} \sum_{m=1}^{4} \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a})}{\prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \frac{\theta_m(+\epsilon_- \pm \phi)^2}{\theta_m(-\epsilon_+ \pm \phi)^2}.$$

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residues are obtained from the simple poles at

- $\phi = -\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,a}$ for $a = 1, \cdots, n$
- $\phi = +\epsilon_+ + v_p$ for $p = 1, \cdots 4$

where $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+\tau}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2})$. Collecting them all, one obtains

$$I^{1,1}_{n,1} = \sum_{m=1}^{4} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \Big(\frac{s_m \cdot \eta^6\ \theta_1(2\alpha_{1,a} + 2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(2\alpha_{1,a} + 4\epsilon_+)\theta_m(\epsilon_- \pm (\alpha_{1,a} + \epsilon_+))^2}{4\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)^2 \theta_m(\alpha_{1,a} + 2\epsilon_+)^2} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\times \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \frac{\theta_1(\pm\alpha_{2,b} \pm (\alpha_{1,a}+\epsilon_+))}{\theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \prod_{c\neq a}^{n} \frac{\theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,c})}{\theta_1(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c})\theta_1(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c}+2\epsilon_+)} + (\alpha_{1,a}\to-\alpha_{1,a})\Big)$$
$$- \sum_{m=1}^{4} \left(\frac{\eta^6 \theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(4\epsilon_+) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{2,b}+\epsilon_+)^2}{2\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a}+2\epsilon_+)} \right)$$

where the sign factor s_m is defined as $s_1 = -1$, $s_{2,3,4} = 1$.

$(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ This winding sector is described by the two-dimensional $O(2) \times Sp(1)$ gauge theory which allows 7 disconnected gauge holonomies. Six of them involve $O(2)$ discrete holonomies, contributing to the elliptic genus by the following one-dimensional contour integrals.

$$\oint d\phi \left(\frac{\eta^3 \theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(\pm 2\phi)\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ \pm 2\phi) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_1(\pm\phi \pm \alpha_{2,b})}{8\,\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)^3 \prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \phi \pm \alpha_{1,a})} \right.$$
$$\left. \times \frac{\theta_r(0)\theta_r(2\epsilon_+) \prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a})\theta_p(\pm\alpha_{1,a})}{\theta_r(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})\theta_p(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} \frac{\theta_m(+\epsilon_- \pm \phi)^2 \theta_p(+\epsilon_- \pm \phi)^2}{\theta_m(-\epsilon_+ \pm \phi)^2 \theta_p(-\epsilon_+ \pm \phi)^2} \right) \tag{2.24}$$

where (m, p, r) takes a value in $\{(2,1,2), (3,1,3), (4,1,4), (2,3,4), (3,4,2), (4,2,3)\}$ for each holonomy sector. The Jeffrey-Kirwan residues are obtained from the simple poles at

- $\phi = -\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,a}$ for $a = 1, \cdots, n$
- $\phi = +\epsilon_+ + v_m$
- $\phi = +\epsilon_+ + v_p$

Summing these Jeffrey-Kirwan residues over all discrete holonomy sectors, one obtains

$$I^{2,1,d}_{n,1} = \sum_{(m,p,r)\in\mathcal{S}} \left[\frac{\theta_r(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)\theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(4\epsilon_+)}{4\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)\theta_r(0)\theta_r(2\epsilon_+)} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,a})}{\theta_p(2\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,a})} \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \frac{\theta_p(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})}{\theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} + (m \leftrightarrow p) \right) \right.$$
$$- \sum_{a=1}^{n} \left(\frac{s_m s_p\ \theta_r(0)\theta_r(2\epsilon_+)\theta_1(4\epsilon_+ - 2\alpha_{1,a})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ - 2\alpha_{1,a})\theta_m(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_- - \alpha_{1,a})^2 \theta_p(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_- - \alpha_{1,a})^2}{8\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)^3 \theta_r(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)\theta_m(2\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{1,a})^2 \theta_p(2\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{1,a})^2} \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \times \prod_{c\neq a}^{n} \frac{\theta_m(\pm\alpha_{1,c})\theta_p(\pm\alpha_{1,c})}{\theta_1(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{1,a} \pm \alpha_{1,c})} \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b} - \alpha_{1,a})^2}{\theta_a(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})\theta_b(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})} + (\alpha_{1,a} \to -\alpha_{1,a}) \right) \right] \tag{2.25}$$

The remaining sector contribute to the elliptic genus by the two-dimensional contour integral

$$\oint d\phi_1 d\phi_2 \left(\frac{\eta^3\, \theta_1(2\epsilon_+)}{2\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-)} \frac{\theta_1(+\epsilon_- \pm \phi_1 \pm \phi_2)}{\theta_1(-\epsilon_+ \pm \phi_1 \pm \phi_2)} \right)^2 \tag{2.26}$$
$$\times \frac{\theta_1(\pm 2\phi_1)\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ \pm 2\phi_1) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_1(\pm\phi_1 \pm \alpha_{2,b})}{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,a} \pm \phi_1)} \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta_1(\pm\alpha_{1,a} \pm \phi_2)}{\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_- \pm 2\phi_2) \prod_{b=1}^{n-4} \theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b} \pm \phi_2)}$$

whose Jeffrey-Kirwan residues come from the following list of poles.

- $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (-\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,a},\ -\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{2,b})$ for $a = 1, \cdots, n$ and $b = 1, \cdots, (n-4)$
- $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (2\epsilon_+ + \alpha_{2,\ell},\ -\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{2,\ell})$ and $(2\epsilon_+ - \alpha_{2,\ell},\ -\epsilon_+ + \alpha_{2,\ell})$ for $\ell = 1, \cdots, (n-4)$
- $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (-\epsilon_+ \pm \alpha_{1,i},\ -\frac{\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_-}{2} + v_p)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $p = 1, \cdots, 4$

- $(\phi_1,\phi_2)=(\frac{3\epsilon_+-\epsilon_-}{2}+v_p,\ -\frac{\epsilon_+-\epsilon_-}{2}-v_p)$ and $(\frac{3\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}+v_p,\ -\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}-v_p)$ for $p=1,\cdots,4$

We add up all Jeffrey-Kirwan residues, which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{2,1,c}_{n,1} = &+\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n-4}\Bigg(\frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_-\pm(2\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}-\alpha_{2,b}))^2\theta_1(\epsilon_-\pm(\alpha_{1,a}-\alpha_{2,b}))^2\theta_1(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}-\alpha_{2,b})^2}{4\theta_1(\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-)^2\theta_1(-3\epsilon_++\alpha_{1,a}+\alpha_{2,b})^2\theta_1(-\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-+2\alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(3\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_--2\alpha_{2,b})}\\
&\times\frac{\theta_1(2\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{1,a})\theta_1(4\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{1,a})}{\theta_1(2\alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{2,b})}\prod_{d\neq b}^{n-4}\frac{\theta_1(\alpha_{2,d}\pm(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}))^2}{\theta_1(\alpha_{2,b}\pm\alpha_{2,d})\theta_1(\pm\alpha_{2,d}-\alpha_{2,b}+2\epsilon_+)}\\
&\times\prod_{c\neq a}^{n}\frac{\theta_1(\pm\alpha_{1,c}-\alpha_{2,b}+\epsilon_+)^2}{\theta_1(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c})\theta_1(-\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c}+2\epsilon_+)}+(\alpha_{1,a}\to-\alpha_{1,a})+(\alpha_{2,b}\to-\alpha_{2,b})\\
&+(\alpha_{1,a}\to-\alpha_{1,a},\ \alpha_{2,b}\to-\alpha_{2,b})\Bigg)\\
&+\sum_{b=1}^{n-4}\Bigg(\frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_-\pm(3\epsilon_++2\alpha_{2,b}))\prod_{a=1}^{n}\theta_1(\epsilon_++\alpha_{2,b}\pm\alpha_{1,a})^2}{4\eta^3\theta_1(\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2\epsilon_++2\alpha_{2,b})^2\theta_1(4\epsilon_++2\alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(2\alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-\pm2(\epsilon_++\alpha_{2,b}))}\\
&\times\frac{1}{\prod_{d\neq b}^{n-4}\theta_1(2\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{2,d}+\alpha_{2,b})\theta_1(\pm\alpha_{2,d}-\alpha_{2,b})}\cdot\Bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\theta_1(x-2\epsilon_+)^2\theta_1(x-2\alpha_{2,b}-2\epsilon_+)^2}{\theta_1(2x-2\epsilon_+)}\\
&\times\frac{\theta_1(2x-2\alpha_{2,b}-5\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_+)\theta_1(2x-\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2x-3\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{2,b}\pm\epsilon_-)}{\prod_{a=1}^{n}\theta_1(x-2\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{1,a}-\alpha_{2,b})}\Bigg)\Bigg|_{x=0}+(\alpha_{2,b}\to-\alpha_{2,b})\Bigg)\\
&-\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{p=1}^{4}\Bigg(\frac{\theta_1(4\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{1,a})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+-2\alpha_{1,a})}{8\theta_1(\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-)^2\theta_1(2\epsilon_++2\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2\epsilon_-)}\frac{\theta_p(\frac{\epsilon_++3\epsilon_-}{2}\pm(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}))^2}{\theta_p(\frac{3\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}))^2}\prod_{d\neq b}^{n-4}\frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{2,d})^2}{\theta_p(\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{2,d})}\\
&\times\frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{2,b})^2\theta_p(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2})^2}{\theta_p(\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{2,b})}\prod_{c\neq a}^{n}\frac{\theta_p(\alpha_{1,c}\pm\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2})^2}{\theta_1(\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+-\alpha_{1,a}\pm\alpha_{1,c})}\\
&+(\alpha_{1,a}\to-\alpha_{1,a})+(\epsilon_-\to-\epsilon_-)+(\alpha_{1,a}\to-\alpha_{1,a},\ \epsilon_-\to-\epsilon_-)\Bigg)\\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{4}\Bigg(\frac{\theta_1(2\epsilon_+)\prod_{a=1}^{n}\theta_p(\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\alpha_{1,a})^2}{8\eta^3\,\theta_1(\epsilon_++\epsilon_-)^2\theta_1(\epsilon_+-\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2\epsilon_-)\theta_1(3\epsilon_++\epsilon_-)\prod_{b=1}^{n-4}\theta_p(\frac{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{2,b})}\\
&\times\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\theta_1(2x+\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2x+4\epsilon_+\pm\epsilon_++\epsilon_-)\theta_1(2x+2\epsilon_++2\epsilon_-)\prod_{b=1}^{n-4}\theta_p(x+\frac{3\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\alpha_{2,b})}{\prod_{a=1}^{n}\theta_p(x+\frac{3\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}{2}\pm\epsilon_+\pm\alpha_{1,a})}\Big)\Big|_{x=0}\\
&+(\epsilon_-\to-\epsilon_-)\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.27}
$$

The final expression of $I^{2,1,c}_{n,1}$ can be reached by taking the derivative over x, then set x to be zero. Those derivatives appear in the residues of the second and fourth poles which are double poles. The full elliptic genus is given as the sum of (2.25) and (2.27), i.e., $I^{2,1}_{n,1}=I^{2,1,c}_{n,1}+I^{2,1,d}_{n,1}$.

3 IIB NS5-branes at D_n orbifolds

3.1 Effective gauge theories

We start with N IIB NS5-branes which lie along the $x^0, \cdots, x^5$ directions, probing the D_n ALF space in the transverse $x^6, \cdots, x^9$ directions. S-duality transformation maps the NS5-branes to D5-branes. Under T-duality transformation along the ALF circle, the D5-branes become D6-branes wrapping on the dual circle (say $x^{9''}$). The $x^{9''}$ direction is a finite segment $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$ that contains an ON$^-$ plane at each endpoint and n NS5-branes in the middle [17]. The fully wrapped D6-branes can be separated into various D6-brane segments ending on NS5-branes. One can obtain a weakly coupled string theory background by bringing an NS5-brane near an ON$^-$ plane. Such chargeless combination is called an ON^0 plane, a perturbative string orbifold that D-branes can end on [15–17]. We illustrate the brane configuration in Figure 3, translating N D6-branes into $2N$ half D6-branes stuck on the orbifolds.



	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D6	•	•	•	•	•	•				•
NS5-ON^0	•	•	•	•	•	•				
D2	•	•								•

Figure 3: NS5-ON^0-D6 brane system at $n = 4$.

An effective gauge theory description of the LSTs can be derived from the brane system. The brane configuration preserves the 6d Lorentz symmetry $SO(1,5)_{012345}$ and the $SO(3)_{789}$ global symmetry, rotating the $x^7, x^8, x^{9''}$ directions. We decompose $SO(1,5)_{012345} \to SO(1,1)_{01} \times SU(2)_{1L} \times SU(2)_{1R}$ and denote by $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, A$ the doublet indices of $SU(2)_{1L}$, $SU(2)_{1R}$, $SU(2)_R \cong SO(3)_{789}$. The SUSY projectors imposed by NS5-branes and D6-branes are Γ^{012345} and $\Gamma^{9''}$. As explained in Section 2.1, $Q^{\alpha A}_{++}$ and $Q^{\dot{\alpha} A}_{-+}$ are the surviving superchanges, where the first/second subscripts denote the eigenvalues of Γ^{01} and $\Gamma^{9''}$. They are the generators of six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ supersymmetry. The $SU(2)_R$ global symmetry also participates into the $(1,0)$ SUSY algebra as R-symmetry.

The six-dimensional gauge symmetry comes from $(n-1)$ stacks of D6-brane segments. It is known that a stack of $2N$ half D-branes ending on an ON^0 plane can be described by $U(N) \times U(N)$ Yang-Mills theory without bifundamental matters [15–17]. In our system, the leftmost and rightmost stack of D6-branes engineer four distinct $U(N)$ gauge nodes. Any other D6-brane stack engineers a $U(2N)$ gauge node. We label four $U(N)$ nodes by $i = 1, \cdots, 4$ and all other $U(2N)$ nodes by $i = 5, \cdots, n+1$. The total gauge symmetry is therefore given by $(U(N))^2 \times (U(2N))^{n-3} \times (U(N))^2$ group.

The field contents are induced from open strings connecting various D6-branes. Each gauge node contains an adjoint vector multiplet. The bosonic Lagrangian of the vector multiplets coupled to



(a) 6d (b) 2d

Figure 4: Quiver diagrams for 6d/2d gauge theories on D6/D2-branes at $n = 5$.

$(n+1)$ tensor multiplets takes the form of (1.1), whose a_{ij} is given by the affine $\hat{D}_n$ Cartan matrix

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} +2 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } \{(i,j),(j,i)\} \cap \{(1,5),(2,5),(3,n+1),(4,n+1)\} \neq \varnothing \\ -1 & \text{if } \{(i,j),(j,i)\} \cap \{(a,b) : b = a+1 \text{ and } 5 \leq a \leq n\} \neq \varnothing \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq (n+1)$. Every pair of i-th and j-th gauge nodes, such that $a_{ij} = -1$, is connected by a massless hypermultiplet in a bifundamental representation. The quiver diagram for the effective gauge theory is therefore given by the affine $\hat{D}_n$-type Dynkin diagram, depicted in Figure 4a. Our brane system realizes the Douglas-Moore construction for the D_n-type singularity [39].

The Green-Schwarz mechanism cancels the non-Abelian gauge anomalies, since the 1-loop anomaly polynomial is factorized into $I_{\text{1-loop}} = \frac{1}{2} a_{ij} \operatorname{tr}(F_i \wedge F_i) \wedge \operatorname{tr}(F_j \wedge F_j)$ [18, 19]. However, the Abelian gauge symmetries also become anomalous at one-loop, i.e.,

$$\delta S = -\epsilon_i \int (\operatorname{tr} F_i) \wedge (\operatorname{tr} F_i) \wedge (\operatorname{tr} F_i), \tag{3.2}$$

due to those hypermultiplets charged under $(n+1)$ $U(1)$ factors. This can be cancelled through the theta term $\int \theta_i \, (\operatorname{tr} F_i) \wedge (\operatorname{tr} F_i) \wedge (\operatorname{tr} F_i)$ where θ_i are periodic scalars induced from NS5-branes. They change under the $U(1)^{n+1}$ gauge transformation by $\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i$. Their kinetic terms are written as

$$\int d^6x \, (\partial_\mu \theta^I - \operatorname{tr} A^I_\mu)^2, \tag{3.3}$$

so that the Abelian gauge fields are massive and become non-dynamical at low energy. All $U(N)$ and $U(2N)$ gauge symmetries in the effective field theory must be treated as $SU(N)$ and $SU(2N)$.

Every distinct arrangement of little strings can be labeled by $(n+1)$ integers, $(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{n+1})$, which correspond to the instanton charges in all gauge nodes. It is realized in the brane system as $(n+1)$ stacks of half D2-brane segments which occupy the $x^0, x^1, x^{9''}$ directions. The integer k_i

Type	Field	Representation
vector	$(A_\mu, \lambda_-^{\dot{\alpha}A})$	**adj** of $U(k_i)$
hyper	$(a_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \psi_+^{\alpha A})$	**adj** of $U(k_i)$
hyper	$(q_{\dot{\alpha}}, \psi_+^A)$	**bif** of $U(k_i) \times U(d_i N)$
Fermi	$(\chi_-)_1, (\chi_-)_2$	**bif** of $U(k_i) \times U(d_i N)$
twisted hyper	$(\varphi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_+^A)$	**bif** of $U(k_i) \times U(k_{f(i)})$
Fermi	$(\mu_-^\alpha)_1, (\mu_-^\alpha)_2$	**bif** of $U(k_i) \times U(k_{f(i)})$

Table 2: Field contents of 2d gauge theories on D2-branes.

denotes the number of D2-branes in the i-th stack. From the array of D2-branes, one can derive the two-dimensional gauge theory which describes an individual winding sector of the LSTs. It inherits the $SU(2)_{1L} \times SU(2)_{1R} \times SU(2)_R$ global symmetry from the 6d theory. Introduction of the D2-branes imposes an extra SUSY projector Γ^{01} which leaves 4 supercharges $Q_{-+}^{\dot{\alpha}A}$ unbroken. They generate 2d $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ supersymmetry which has $SO(4) = SU(2)_{1R} \times SU(2)_R$ as R-symmetry. The 6d gauge symmetry appears as the flavor symmetry of the 2d gauge theory.

As a stack of k_i D2-branes engineers a $U(k_i)$ gauge symmetry, the total gauge group is $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} U(k_i)$. The two-dimensional gauge theory is the affine $\hat{D}_n$ quiver gauge theory, which contains various field contents induced from open strings ending on D2-branes. We summarize them as $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$ supermultiplets in Table 2. The Dynkin label d_i is defined by $d_{1\leq i\leq 4} = 1$ and $d_{i>4} = 2$. The variable f_i denotes a unique integer associated to i, satisfying $f_i > i$ and $a_{if_i} = -1$. See also Figure 4b for the quiver diagram description. This gauge theory is anomaly-free, since there exist the same number of left-moving and right-moving fermions in any gauge representation.

3.2 BPS partition functions on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$

The BPS specta of the LSTs on $\mathbf{R}^4 \times T^2$ can be studied from the affine $\hat{D}_n$ quiver gauge theory. We define the SUSY partition function as

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,N} = \mathrm{Tr}\left[(-1)^F q^{H_L} \bar{q}^{H_R} t^{J_{1R}+J_R} u^{J_{1L}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\mathfrak{n}_i^{k_i} \prod_{\ell_i=1}^{k_i} (w_{i,\ell_i})^{F_{i,\ell_i}} \right)\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Our notations for various charges and chemical potentials are already explained in Section 2.2. Here we additionally impose the traceless conditions for $U(N)$ and $U(2N)$ gauge holonomies, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\ell_i=1}^{r_i} \alpha_{i,\ell_i} = 0 \qquad \text{for any } i = 1, \cdots, (n+1). \tag{3.5}$$

The partition function captures the BPS states which carry the left-moving momenta and the winding numbers. In the large radius regime of the compactified x^1 direction, the BPS spectrum is factorized into individual winding sectors. It is because the ground state energy for a winding sector is propor-

tional to the circle radius times the winding numbers, which becomes much greater than the circle momenta. And also, each sector with a fixed winding number is described by the two-dimensional quiver gauge theory supported on $(n+1)$ D2-brane stacks. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,N} = I^0_{n,N} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{n}_1^{k_1} \cdots \mathfrak{n}_{2N}^{k_{2N}} \cdot I^{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}}_{n,N} \right) \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_i$ denotes the i-th winding number fugacity. $I^0_{n,N}$ exhibits the BPS spectrum of the pure momentum sector. $I^{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}}_{n,N}$ is the BPS index of the sector with $(k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1})$ winding numbers, given as the elliptic genus of the 2d gauge theory on $(k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_{n+1})$ D2-brane segments.

The pure momentum sector is described by the 6d perturbative gauge theory, decoupled from instanton strings. $I^0_{n,N}$ can be computed from the formula (2.6), where each $\mathcal{N}=(1,0)$ multiplet contributes to the single-particle index $f^0_{n,N}$ by the product of (2.8) and (2.9). The parentheses in (2.9) can be explicitly written as follows. For a vector multiplet in an adjoint representation, they are

$$\begin{aligned} U(N): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_i<\ell_j}^{N} \left(\frac{w_{i,\ell_i}}{w_{i,\ell_j}} + \frac{q w_{i,\ell_j}}{w_{i,\ell_i}} \right) + Nq \right] \cdot \tfrac{1}{1-q} \\ U(2N): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_i<\ell_j}^{2N} \left(\frac{w_{i,\ell_i}}{w_{i,\ell_j}} + \frac{q w_{i,\ell_j}}{w_{i,\ell_i}} \right) + 2Nq \right] \cdot \tfrac{1}{1-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For a hypermultiplet in a bifundamental representation of $U(2N)\times U(2N)$ or $U(N)\times U(2N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(2N)\times U(2N): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_i=1}^{2N} \left(w_{i,\ell_i} + \frac{q}{w_{i,\ell_i}} \right) \cdot \sum_{\ell_j=1}^{2N} \left(w_{j,\ell_j} + \frac{q}{w_{j,\ell_j}} \right) \right] \cdot \tfrac{1}{1-q} \\ U(N)\times U(2N): &\quad \left[\sum_{\ell_j=1}^{N} \left(w_{j,\ell_j} + \frac{q}{w_{j,\ell_j}} \right) \cdot \sum_{\ell_j=1}^{2N} \left(w_{j,\ell_j} + \frac{q}{w_{j,\ell_j}} \right) \right] \cdot \tfrac{1}{1-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

One can obtain the final expression of $f^0_{n,N}$ and $I^0_{n,N}$ by collecting all these factors and using (2.6).

An individual winding sector with fixed $(k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_{n+1})$ can be described by the two-dimensional gauge theory explained in Section 3.1. Its BPS partition function is the elliptic genus of the gauge theory, whose computation was studied in [30,31] through SUSY localization. We evaluate the supersymmetric path integral in the weak coupling regime. The full path integral is reduced to Gaussian integrals around saddle points, which are parameterized by the gauge holonomy $A_0+\tau A_1$ on T^2. The $U(k)$ gauge holonomy can be written as

$$A_0 + \tau A_1 = \mathrm{diag}\,(\phi_1,\phi_2,\cdots,\phi_k) \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_i \in \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.9}$$

The Gaussian integration around a saddle point, labeled by eigenvalues of the $(n+1)$ gauge holonomies, results in the one-loop determinant $Z_{\text{1-loop}}$. It is the product of the factors (2.14)–(2.17) associated to each $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ multiplet. It can be expressed in a closed form as

$$Z_{\text{1-loop}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[\left(\frac{\eta^3 \theta_1(2\epsilon_+)}{\theta_1(\epsilon_1)\theta_1(\epsilon_2)} \right)^{k_i} \prod_{p\neq q}^{k_i} \frac{\theta_1(\phi_{i,pq})\theta_1(2\epsilon_+ + \phi_{i,pq})}{\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm \epsilon_- + \phi_{i,pq})} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{k_i} \prod_{a=1}^{d_i N} \frac{\eta^2}{\theta_1(\epsilon_+ \pm (\phi_{i,p} - \alpha_{i,a}))} \right] \tag{3.10}$$

$$\times \prod_{i\neq j}^{n+1}\left[\prod_{p=1}^{k_i}\prod_{a=1}^{d_jN}\frac{\theta_1(M_{ij}\cdot(\phi_{i,p}-\alpha_{j,a}))}{\eta}\cdot\prod_{p=1}^{k_i}\prod_{q=1}^{k_j}\frac{\theta_1(+\epsilon_-+M_{ij}\cdot(\phi_{i,p}-\phi_{j,q}))}{\theta_1(-\epsilon_++M_{ij}\cdot(\phi_{i,p}-\phi_{j,q}))}\right]\cdot\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\prod_{p=1}^{k_i}2\pi d\phi_{i,p}\right]$$

where $\phi_{i,p}$ denotes the p-th eigenvalue of the i-th gauge holonomy. The following notations are also used: $M_{ij}\equiv 2\delta_{ij}-a_{ij}$ and $\phi_{i,ab}\equiv\phi_{i,a}-\phi_{i,b}$. We integrate over the eigenvalues of the gauge holonomies, $\phi_{i,p}$, parameterizing all saddle points.. It is the multi-dimensional contour integral

$$I_{n,N}^{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}}=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}k_i}}\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}k_i!}\oint Z_{1-\text{loop}} \tag{3.11}$$

which can be done by collecting the Jeffrey-Kirwan residues explained in [30, 31]. The division factor $k_i!$ is the Weyl group order of $U(k_i)$ gauge symmetry.

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue operation exclusively selects those poles which are classified by colored Young diagrams. Any of selected poles, coming from the first line of (3.10), takes the following form.

$$\phi_{i,p}=\alpha_{i,a}-\epsilon_+-(n_1+n_2)\epsilon_+-(n_1-n_2)\epsilon_-. \tag{3.12}$$

These poles are classified by the (d_iN)-colored Young diagrams for all $1\leq i\leq(n+1)$ [40]. An I-colored Young diagram consists of I numbers of Young tableaux. The equation (3.12) indicates that the p-th box inside the i-th colored Young diagram is placed at the position (n_1,n_2) of the a-th tableau. The rule is that a box can be piled at (n_1,n_2) only if there exists a box at (n_1-1,n_2) or (n_1,n_2-1). We now claim that no additional pole can be chosen from the second line of (3.10). Suppose that the values of $\phi_{i,1},\cdots,\phi_{i,n}$ indicate n boxes inside the i-th colored Young diagram. If the i-th and j-th gauge nodes are interconnected, an eigenvalue $\phi_{j,1}$ of the j-th gauge holonomy can be determined from the poles of the following factors

$$\prod_{a=1}^{d_jN}\frac{\eta}{\theta_1(\epsilon_++(\phi_{j,1}-\alpha_{j,a}))}\quad\text{and}\quad\prod_{p=1}^{n}\frac{\eta}{\theta_1(-\epsilon_++(\phi_{j,1}-\phi_{i,p}))}. \tag{3.13}$$

The latter one comes from the second line of (3.10). Inserting back $\phi_{i,1},\cdots,\phi_{i,n}$, this becomes

$$\prod_{a=1}^{d_iN}\prod_{(n_1,n_2)\in y_a}\frac{\eta}{\theta_1(\phi_{j,1}-\alpha_{i,a}+(n_1+n_2)\epsilon_++(n_1-n_2)\epsilon_-)} \tag{3.14}$$

where y_a denotes the a-th tableau in the i-th (d_iN)-colored Young diagram $\mathcal{Y}_i$. Some other terms on the second line of (3.10) can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{a=1}^{d_iN}\frac{\theta_1(\phi_{j,1}-\alpha_{i,a})}{\eta}\cdot\prod_{p=1}^{n}\frac{\theta_1(\epsilon_-+(\phi_{i,p}-\phi_{j,1}))\theta_1(\epsilon_-+(\phi_{j,1}-\phi_{i,p}))}{\eta^2}\\ =&\prod_{a=1}^{d_iN}\frac{\theta_1(\phi_{j,1}-\alpha_{i,a})}{\eta}\cdot\prod_{a=1}^{d_iN}\prod_{(n_1,n_2)\in y_a}\frac{-\theta_1(\phi_{j,1}-\alpha_{i,a}+(n_1+n_2+1)\epsilon_++(n_1-n_2\pm1)\epsilon_-)}{\eta^2}\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$= \prod_{a=1}^{d_i N} \left[(-1)^{|y_a|} \prod_{(n_1,n_2)\in \tilde{y}_a} \frac{\theta_1(\phi_{j,1} - \alpha_{i,a} + (n_1+n_2+1)\epsilon_+ + (n_1-n_2\pm 1)\epsilon_-)}{\eta^2} \right]$$

where $\tilde{y}_a$ is the extended tableau of y_a that has boxes at (n_1, n_2), (n_1+1, n_2), (n_1, n_2+1) for each $(n_1, n_2) \in y_a$. Since (3.15) completely cancels out (3.14), the second line of (3.10) cannot develop a new pole chosen by the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue operation. It implies that every residue is associated to a distinct configuration of $(n+1)$ colored Young diagrams $\{\mathcal{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}\}$. Combining all Jeffrey-Kirwan residues, the BPS partition function $I_{n,N}^{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}}$ can be written as [41, 42]

$$I_{n,N}^{k_1,\cdots,k_{n+1}} = \sum_{\{\mathcal{Y}_i\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{a=1}^{d_i N} \prod_{s\in y_a} \left[\prod_{b=1}^{d_i N} \frac{\eta^2}{\theta_1(E_{i,ab}(s))\theta_1(E_{i,ab}(s) - 2\epsilon_+)} \times \right. \tag{3.16}$$
$$\left. \prod_{j\in\mathcal{N}_i} \prod_{c=1}^{d_j N} \left(\frac{\theta_1(E_{i,a}(s) - \alpha_{j,c})}{\eta} \prod_{s'\in y_c} \frac{\theta_1(E_{i,a}(s) - E_{j,c}(s') + \epsilon_-)}{\theta_1(E_{i,a}(s) - E_{j,c}(s') - \epsilon_+)} \right) \right].$$

We used the following notations: $\mathcal{N}_i = \{j \mid a_{ij} = -1\}$. $E_{i,ab}(s)$ and $E_{i,a}(s)$ are functions of a box s in the a-th tableau which belongs to the i-th colored Young diagram, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i,ac}(s) &= \alpha_{i,a} - \alpha_{i,c} - \epsilon_+(h_{r,a}(s) - v_{b,c}(s) - 1) - \epsilon_-(h_{r,a}(s) + v_{b,c}(s) + 1) \\ E_{i,a}(s) &= \alpha_{i,a} - (h_{l,a}(s) + v_{t,a}(s) - 1)\epsilon_+ - (h_{l,a}(s) - v_{t,a}(s))\epsilon_-. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$h_{l,a}(s)$ and $h_{r,a}(s)$ are the horizontal distances from the box s to the leftmost and rightmost edges of y_a. $v_{t,a}(s)$ and $v_{t,a}(s)$ are the vertical distances from the box s to the top and bottom edges of y_a. The full BPS partition function $\mathcal{I}_{n,N}$ of the LST can finally be obtained from (3.6).

4 T-duality in the BPS spectra

T-duality is a characteristic feature of LSTs that was found in many known examples. It establishes an equivalence between two circle compactified LSTs, interchanging the winding and momentum modes, when their circle radii R and $\tilde{R}$ are related as $\tilde{R} = \alpha'/R$. Since IIA/IIB NS5-branes are T-dual pairs, one naturally expects that those LSTs studied in Sections 2 and 3 can also be equated via T-duality.

A sequence of string dualities realizes T-duality of the LSTs. We start with the two brane systems that engineer the effective gauge theories studied in Sections 2 and 3. Each system contains D6-branes and NS5-branes, together with D2-brane segments corresponding to little strings. We apply T-duality transformation along the x^1 circle that both LSTs are wrapped on. The resulting systems are 5-brane webs. Locations of D5-branes are controlled by the chemical potentials $\alpha_{i,a}$, which were previously interpreted as the 6d gauge holonomies fractionalizing the circle momentum. Various D1- and F1-strings suspended between 5-branes are T-dual of fractional little strings and circle momenta, respectively. The equivalence of the two 5-brane web systems can be shown in two steps [24]. First, one locates two D5-branes near the topmost O5$^-$ planes in the D5-O5-NS5 system. This can be done

by imposing the $SO(2n)$ Wilson lines,

$$2\pi A_1 = (0, 0, \cdots, 0, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}), \tag{4.1}$$

breaking $SO(2n) \to SO(2n-4) \times SO(4)$. Second, one takes S-duality transformation that rotates a 5-brane web diagram by 90°. It exchanges D1- and F1-strings, and D5- and NS5-branes, and the following two configurations [24]

$$(\text{O5}^- + 2\ \text{D5}) - \text{O5}^+ \ \longleftrightarrow\ (\text{ON}^0 + \text{NS5}). \tag{4.2}$$

Figure 5 depicts S-duality transformation of the two 5-brane web configurations for $N = 1$ and $n = 5$. As D1- and F1-strings correspond to winding and momentum modes of a LST, it essentially maps the winding/momentum modes of one LST to the momentum/winding modes of the other LST. This realizes the T-duality between the two LSTs, obtained from N NS5-branes on the D_n ALF space.

We can probe the T-duality using the BPS partition functions computed in Sections 2.2 and 3.2. Although the BPS partition functions are always computed in the large radius regime, they are naturally expected to be valid at any circle radius. For that reason, the BPS partition functions of dual LSTs must agree with each other, after suitably identifying the winding/momentum fugacities on one side with the momentum/winding fugacities on the other side. More concretely, we study if

$$\mathcal{I}^A_{n,N}(q, w, \mathfrak{n}) = \mathcal{I}^B_{n,N}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') \tag{4.3}$$

where the superscripts A/B distinguish respectively the LSTs studied in Section 2 and 3. The two sets of fugacity variables are collectively denoted as $q, w, \mathfrak{n}$ and $q', w', \mathfrak{n}'$, related by a fugacity map. The fugacity map can be best understood from the 5-brane webs diagrams as identification rules for Kähler parameters. We derive the fugacity maps for two particular configurations: 1 NS5-brane on the D_4 and D_5 ALF spaces. From these results, one will be able to infer the maps for greater n-s.

1 NS5-brane on D_4 singularity Let us identify the fugacity variables in $\mathcal{I}^A_{4,1}$ with Kähler parameters in the 5-brane web diagram of Figure 6a. There are 6 independent fugacities, $w_{1,1}, \cdots, w_{1,4}, \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2$.



Figure 5: S-duality of the 5-brane webs at $N = 1$ and $n = 5$

First, the $SO(8)$ holonomies must be replaced by

$$w_{1,1} \to w_{1,1}, \quad w_{1,2} \to w_{1,2}, \quad w_{1,3} \to q^{1/2} w_{1,3}, \quad w_{1,4} \to q^{1/2} w_{1,4} \tag{4.4}$$

due to the background Wilson line (4.1) which virtually inserts $\exp(\pi i \tau (F_{1,3} + F_{1,4}))$ in the BPS partition function (2.3). They measure the vertical locations of the D5-branes from the bottom O5-plane, so that one can identify them with Kähler parameters $m_1, \cdots, m_4, \tau$ as follows.

$$w_{1,1} = e^{2\pi i m_1}, \quad w_{1,2} = e^{2\pi i m_2}, \quad q^{1/2} w_{1,3} = q^{1/2} e^{-2\pi i m_3}, \quad q^{1/2} w_{1,4} = q^{1/2} e^{-2\pi i m_4}. \tag{4.5}$$

Second, the winding fugacities $\mathfrak{n}_1$ and $\mathfrak{n}_2$ measure the D1-string lengths suspended between the NS5-branes. A subtle point is that $\mathfrak{n}_2$ measures half the distance as it is conjugate to the number of half D1-strings. These fugacities are therefore identified as

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = e^{2\pi i(\tau' - 2a_1)}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_2 = e^{2\pi i a_1}. \tag{4.6}$$

For example, the contribution to $\mathcal{I}^A_{4,1}$ from a fully wound D1-string is weighted with $\mathfrak{n}_1 \mathfrak{n}_2^2 = e^{2\pi i \tau'}$.

$\mathcal{I}^B_{4,1}$ involves 6 independent variables $\mathfrak{n}'_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{n}'_5, w'_{5,1}$, which we identify with the Kähler parameters in Figure 6a. First, the $SU(2)$ holonomy $w'_{5,1}$ measures the location of an NS5-brane from the middle.

$$w_{5,1} = e^{2\pi i a_1} \tag{4.7}$$

Second, the winding fugacities $\mathfrak{n}'_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{n}'_5$ measure the lengths of indivisible open strings, corresponding to the positive roots of affine $SO(8)$ Lie algebra. In terms of the Kähler parameters $m_1, \cdots, m_4, \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{n}'_1 = e^{2\pi i(m_2+m_1)}, && \mathfrak{n}'_2 = e^{2\pi i(m_2-m_1)}, && \\ &\mathfrak{n}'_3 = e^{2\pi i(m_4+m_3)}, && \mathfrak{n}'_4 = e^{2\pi i(m_4-m_3)}, && \mathfrak{n}'_5 = e^{2\pi i(\frac{\tau}{2}-m_2-m_3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$



(a) $N = 1$ and $n = 4$ (b) $N = 1$ and $n = 5$

Figure 6: Kähler parameters in the 5-brane webs at $N = 1$ and $n = 4, 5$

Based on (4.5)-(4.8), the unprimed variables are related to the primed variables as follows.

$$(w_{1,1},\, w_{1,2},\, w_{1,3},\, w_{1,4},\, \mathfrak{n}_1,\, \mathfrak{n}_2,\, q) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}_1'}{\mathfrak{n}_2'}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'},\, \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}_3'}{\mathfrak{n}_4'}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_3'\mathfrak{n}_4'},\, \frac{q'}{w_{5,1}'^2},\, w_{5,1}',\, \mathfrak{n}_1'\,\mathfrak{n}_2'\,\mathfrak{n}_3'\,\mathfrak{n}_4'\,\mathfrak{n}_5'^2\right) \tag{4.9}$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $q' = e^{2\pi i\tau'}$ measure the vertical and horizontal periods in Figure 6a.

Substituting all the unprimed variables with the primed variables, we indeed found that

$$\mathcal{I}^A_{4,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') = \mathcal{I}^B_{4,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') \tag{4.10}$$

in series expansion form, up to $q'^1\mathfrak{n}_1'^2\mathfrak{n}_2'^2\mathfrak{n}_3'^2\mathfrak{n}_4'^2\mathfrak{n}_5'^2$ order. The series expansion result can be written in a plethystic exponential form, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}^{A/B}_{4,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') \equiv \mathrm{PE}\left[\frac{t\cdot\mathcal{F}_{4,1}}{(1-tu)(1-tu^{-1})}\right], \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{4,1}$ is attached as a Mathematica file, `1NS5-D4.nb`, and also partially displayed in Appendix A.

1 NS5-brane on D_5 singularity We repeat the same analysis for the $n = 5$ theory, whose 5-brane web diagram is given in Figure 6b. There are 8 independent fugacity variables that appear in $\mathcal{I}^A_{5,1}$. First, the $SO(10)$ holonomies are replaced by

$$w_{1,1} \to w_{1,1}, \quad w_{1,2} \to w_{1,2}, \quad w_{1,3} \to w_{1,3}, \quad w_{1,4} \to q^{1/2}w_{1,4}, \quad w_{1,5} \to q^{1/2}w_{1,5} \tag{4.12}$$

due to the Wilson line (4.1) that effectively introduces $\exp(\pi i\tau(F_{1,4}+F_{1,5}))$ in (2.3). They are identified with Kähler parameters $m_1, \cdots, m_5, \tau$ as follows:

$$w_{1,1} = e^{2\pi i m_1},\ w_{1,2} = e^{2\pi i m_2},\ w_{1,3} = e^{2\pi i m_3},\ q^{1/2}w_{1,4} = q^{1/2}e^{-2\pi i m_4},\ q^{1/2}w_{1,5} = q^{1/2}e^{-2\pi i m_5}. \tag{4.13}$$

Second, the $Sp(1)$ fugacity $w_{2,1}$ reflects the vertical location of the middle D5-brane from the bottom O5$^+$ plane. In terms of the Kähler parameters, it can be written as

$$w_{2,1} = e^{2\pi i(m_3+a_1-a_2)}. \tag{4.14}$$

Third, the winding fugacities $\mathfrak{n}_1$ and $\mathfrak{n}_2$ measure the lengths of D1-strings suspended between the NS5-branes. Being different from the $n = 4$ case, however, two options seem available: One depends on a_1 while the other depends on a_2. We temporarily take the limit $\tau \to \infty$ to clarify this. It was observed in [43, 44] that the length of D1-strings corresponds to the average of horizontal distances between upper/lower pairs of asymptotic NS5-branes. In our system, it implies that

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = e^{2\pi i(\tau'-2a_2)}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_2 = e^{2\pi i a_2} \tag{4.15}$$

which must be true also for finite τ due to the robustness of the BPS spectrum.

We turn to $\mathcal{I}^B_{5,1}$ involving 8 fugacities $\mathfrak{n}_1', \cdots, \mathfrak{n}_6', w_{5,1}', w_{6,1}'$. First, the $SU(2)$ holonomies $w_{5,1}'$ and

$w'_{6,1}$ measure the horizontal locations of the lower and upper NS5-branes from the middle, respectively.

$$w'_{5,1} = e^{2\pi i a_1}\,, \quad w'_{6,1} = e^{2\pi i a_2} \tag{4.16}$$

Second, the winding fugacities $\mathfrak{n}'_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{n}'_6$ are the lengths of indivisible open strings which correspond to the positive roots of affine $SO(10)$ Lie algebra.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{n}'_1 = e^{2\pi i(m_2+m_1)}\,, && \mathfrak{n}'_2 = e^{2\pi i(m_2-m_1)}\,, && \mathfrak{n}'_3 = e^{2\pi i(m_5+m_4)}\,, \\ &\mathfrak{n}'_4 = e^{2\pi i(m_5-m_4)}\,, && \mathfrak{n}'_5 = e^{2\pi i(m_3-m_2)}\,, && \mathfrak{n}'_6 = e^{2\pi i(\frac{\tau}{2}-m_3-m_4)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Based on (4.13)-(4.17), the unprimed variables are related to the primed variables as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (w_{1,1},\, w_{1,2},\, w_{1,3},\, w_{1,4},\, w_{1,5}) &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}'_1}{\mathfrak{n}'_2}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}'_1\mathfrak{n}'_2},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}'_1\mathfrak{n}'_2}\mathfrak{n}'_5,\, \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}'_3}{\mathfrak{n}'_4}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}'_3\mathfrak{n}'_4}\right) \\ (w_{2,1},\, \mathfrak{n}_1,\, \mathfrak{n}_2,\, q) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{n}'_1\mathfrak{n}'_2}\mathfrak{n}'_5 \cdot w'_{5,1}}{w'_{6,1}}, \frac{q'}{w'^2_{6,1}},\, w'_{6,1},\, \mathfrak{n}'_1\mathfrak{n}'_2\mathfrak{n}'_3\mathfrak{n}'_4\mathfrak{n}'^2_5\mathfrak{n}'^2_6\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $q' = e^{2\pi i\tau'}$ correspond to the vertical and horizontal periods in Figure 6b.

Replacing all the unprimed variables with the primed variables, we again found that

$$\mathcal{I}^A_{5,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') = \mathcal{I}^B_{5,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') \tag{4.19}$$

in series expansion form, up to $q'^1\mathfrak{n}'^1_1\mathfrak{n}'^1_2\mathfrak{n}'^1_3\mathfrak{n}'^1_4\mathfrak{n}'^2_5\mathfrak{n}'^2_6$ order. The series expansion result can be written in a plethystic exponential form, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}^{A/B}_{5,1}(q', w', \mathfrak{n}') \equiv \mathrm{PE}\left[\frac{t\cdot\mathcal{F}_{5,1}}{(1-tu)(1-tu^{-1})}\right], \tag{4.20}$$

where the polynomial $\mathcal{F}_{5,1}$ is attached as a separate Mathematica notebook file, `1NS5-D5.nb`.

Generalizations We state the fugacity map for general $n > 5$ extending the above results. The two sets of $(2n-2)$ fugacity variables, appearing in $\mathcal{I}^A_{n,1}$ and $\mathcal{I}^B_{n,1}$, are respectively denoted as

$$\mathcal{I}^A_{n,1} : \mathfrak{n}_1,\, \mathfrak{n}_2,\, w_{1,1},\, \cdots, w_{1,n},\, w_{2,1},\, \cdots, w_{2,n-4} \tag{4.21}$$

$$\mathcal{I}^B_{n,1} : \mathfrak{n}'_1,\, \cdots, \mathfrak{n}'_{n+1},\, w'_{5,1},\, \cdots, w'_{n+1,1}. \tag{4.22}$$

They can be identified with each other through Kähler parameters in the corresponding 5-brane web. The $SO(2n)$ holonomies $w_{1,1}, \cdots, w_{1,n}$ must be replaced by

$$w_{1,n-1} \to q^{1/2}w_{1,n-1}, \quad w_{1,n} \to q^{1/2}w_{1,n}, \quad w_{1,a} \to w_{1,a} \quad \text{for all } a \le (n-2). \tag{4.23}$$

due to the Wilson line (4.1) which induces $\exp(\pi i\tau(F_{1,n-1}+F_{1,n}))$ in (2.3). Repeating the same analysis, the unprimed variables can be related to the primed variables as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(w_{1,1},\, w_{1,2},\, w_{1,n-1},\, w_{1,n}) &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}_1'}{\mathfrak{n}_2'}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'},\, \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{n}_3'}{\mathfrak{n}_4'}},\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_3'\mathfrak{n}_4'}\right) \\
(w_{1,3},\, \cdots,\, w_{1,n-2}) &= \left(\sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'}\mathfrak{n}_5',\, \cdots,\, \sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'}\prod_{i=5}^{n}\mathfrak{n}_i'\right) \\
(w_{2,1},\, \cdots,\, w_{2,n-4}) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'}\mathfrak{n}_5'\cdot w_{5,1}'}{w_{6,1}'},\, \cdots,\, \frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'}\prod_{i=5}^{n}\mathfrak{n}_i'\cdot w_{n,1}'}{w_{n+1,1}'}\right) \\
(\mathfrak{n}_1,\, \mathfrak{n}_2,\, q) &= \left(\frac{q'}{w_{n+1,1}'^2},\, w_{n+1,1}',\, \mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'\mathfrak{n}_3'\mathfrak{n}_4'\prod_{i=5}^{n+1}\mathfrak{n}_i'^2\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Replacing the unprimed variables with the primed variables or vice versa, T-duality implies an agreement between the BPS partition functions $\mathcal{I}^A_{n,1}$ and $\mathcal{I}^B_{n,1}$ as explicitly confirmed in the $n=4,5$ cases. It is also straightforward to generalize the fugacity maps for multiple NS5-branes. The detailed analysis would involve understanding the microscopic description of an ON^0 plane.

5 Conclusion and discussions

In this work, we studied the $\mathcal{N}=(1,0)$ little string theories that are engineered from type IIA/IIB NS5-branes probing the D_n ALF space. The string dualities map the NS5-branes and the D_n-type ALF space to the NS5-D6-O6 and NS5-ON^0-D6 brane systems, from which we derived the 6d effective gauge theories. We have an orthosympletic circular quiver theory on one side, and an affine $\hat{D}_n$ quiver theory on the other side. Little strings are realized as instanton strings of the effective gauge theories. Their worldsheet dynamics are described by the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ gauge theories, motivated from various D2-branes introduced to the brane systems. These 6d/2d gauge theories were used to study the BPS spectra of the little string theories on Omega-deformed $\mathbf{R}^4\times T^2$.

We utilized the SUSY partition functions of the little string theories to establish their T-duality. T-duality is an equivalence between two circle compactified LSTs, interchanging the winding and momentum modes, when their circle radii R and $\tilde{R}$ are related as $\tilde{R}=\alpha'/R$. The SUSY partition functions for a T-dual pair of LSTs should agree with each other, after imposing a fugacity map which identifies the winding/momentum fugacities on one side with the momentum/winding fugacities on the other side. For those LSTs obtained from an NS5-branes on D_n singularities, we found the fugacity map from the associated 5-brane web diagrams and confirmed the agreements between the SUSY partition functions for $n=4,5$.

By decompactifying either direction in the 5-brane web diagrams, the T-duality is reduced to the duality between the 6d D_n conformal matter theory and the 5d affine $\hat{D}_n$-type quiver gauge theory, or between the 6d D_n-type quiver gauge theory and the 5d orthosympletic circular quiver theory. This comes from the fact that our LSTs are extensions of those two 6d $\mathcal{N}=(1,0)$ SCFTs. Consequently,

our SUSY partition functions also confirmed the 5d/6d dualities studied in [22–24] as byproducts.

One interesting and challenging future direction would be to study the little string theories engineered from type IIA/IIB NS5-branes on the E_n-type ALF spaces. The effective gauge theories for the type IIB NS5-branes are the affine $\hat{E}_n$ gauge theories according to the Douglas-Moore construction [39], for which most results in Section 3.1 can be easily extended. However, the effective gauge theories for those type IIA NS5-branes involve several exceptional gauge groups, whose instanton strings have not been fully understood yet. [45–47] are some related works on exceptional instanton strings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Hee-Cheol Kim, Seok Kim, Sung-Soo Kim, Futoshi Yagi for valuable discussions. We also thank Dario Rosa and especially Sung-Soo Kim for helpful comments on the manuscript.

A Explicit expression for $\mathcal{F}_{4,1}$

The Laurant polynomial $\mathcal{F}_{4,1}$ is defined in (4.11) as the numerator of the single-particle index for the LST of a single NS5-brane probing the D_4 ALF space. It essentially contains all BPS invariants. We rearrange it into

$$\mathcal{F}_{4,1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{l+r}\chi_{l/2}(u)\chi_{r/2}(t)\cdot\mathfrak{g}_{l,r} \tag{A.1}$$

where χ_s denotes an $SU(2)$ character of spin-s representation. Up to the $\mathfrak{n}_1'\mathfrak{n}_2'\mathfrak{n}_3'\mathfrak{n}_4'\mathfrak{n}_5'^2$ order,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{0,0} = {} & w_{5,1}\big(\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 32\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 32\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 32\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 96\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 32\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{n}_3 + \mathfrak{n}_4\big) + qw_{5,1}^{-1}\big(10\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 62\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 62\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 62\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 272\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 62\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 9\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 18\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 9\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 18\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 27\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 18\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 9\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 18\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 27\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 18\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 27\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 36\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 27\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 18\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 9\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{n}_3 + \mathfrak{n}_4 + 8\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} = {} & \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 w_{5,1}^2 + 2\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + q\big(\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 181\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 28\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 181\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 28\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 181\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 798\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 181\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 28\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 10\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 19\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 10\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 19\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 19\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 10\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 19\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 19\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 28\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 37\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 28\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 19\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 10\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{n}_3 + \mathfrak{n}_4\big) + qw_{5,1}^{-2}\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{0,1} = {} & \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 4\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{n}_3 + \mathfrak{n}_4 + w_{5,1}^2\big(8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 31\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 31\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 88\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 31\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 31\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 88\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 31\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 88\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 222\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 88\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 31\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 8\mathfrak{n}_5 + 1\big) \\
& + qw_{5,1}^{-2}\big(\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 4\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 4\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 4\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 15\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 4\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 3\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 3\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 3\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 4\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 3\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + \mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{n}_3 + \mathfrak{n}_4 + 1\big) + q\big(47\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 208\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 47\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 208\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 696\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 208\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 47\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 208\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 696\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 208\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 696\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 2065\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 696\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 \\
& + 208\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 47\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5^2 + \mathfrak{n}_5^2 + 48\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_5 + 66\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 48\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_5 + 66\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 84\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 66\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 48\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_5 + 66\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 84\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 66\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 84\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 102\mathfrak{n}_1\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 84\mathfrak{n}_2\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 \\
& + 66\mathfrak{n}_3\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 48\mathfrak{n}_4\mathfrak{n}_5 + 30\mathfrak{n}_5 + 2\mathfrak{n}_1 + 2\mathfrak{n}_2 + 2\mathfrak{n}_3 + 2\mathfrak{n}_4\big) \qquad \text{(A.4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where all primes are dropped for simplicity. See the attached Mathematica files for more informations.

References

[1] N. Seiberg, "New theories in six-dimensions and matrix description of M theory on T**5 and T**5 / Z(2)," *Phys. Lett.* **B408** (1997) 98–104, arXiv:hep-th/9705221 [hep-th].

[2] M. Berkooz, M. Rozali, and N. Seiberg, "Matrix description of M theory on T**4 and T**5," *Phys. Lett.* **B408** (1997) 105–110, arXiv:hep-th/9704089 [hep-th].

[3] R. Dijkgraaf, E. P. Verlinde, and H. L. Verlinde, "BPS spectrum of the five-brane and black hole entropy," *Nucl. Phys.* **B486** (1997) 77–88, arXiv:hep-th/9603126 [hep-th].

[4] R. Dijkgraaf, E. P. Verlinde, and H. L. Verlinde, "BPS quantization of the five-brane," *Nucl. Phys.* **B486** (1997) 89–113, arXiv:hep-th/9604055 [hep-th].

[5] L. Bhardwaj, M. Del Zotto, J. J. Heckman, D. R. Morrison, T. Rudelius, and C. Vafa, "F-theory and the Classification of Little Strings," *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 8, (2016) 086002, arXiv:1511.05565 [hep-th].

[6] L. Bhardwaj, "Classification of 6d $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ gauge theories," *JHEP* **11** (2015) 002, arXiv:1502.06594 [hep-th].

[7] J. D. Blum and K. A. Intriligator, "New phases of string theory and 6-D RG fixed points via branes at orbifold singularities," *Nucl. Phys.* **B506** (1997) 199–222, arXiv:hep-th/9705044 [hep-th].

[8] K. A. Intriligator, "New string theories in six-dimensions via branes at orbifold singularities," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1998) 271–282, arXiv:hep-th/9708117 [hep-th].

[9] I. Brunner and A. Karch, "Branes at orbifolds versus Hanany Witten in six-dimensions," *JHEP* **03** (1998) 003, arXiv:hep-th/9712143 [hep-th].

[10] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni, "Branes and six-dimensional supersymmetric theories," *Nucl. Phys.* **B529** (1998) 180–206, arXiv:hep-th/9712145 [hep-th].

[11] M. Bershadsky and A. Johansen, "Colliding singularities in F theory and phase transitions," *Nucl. Phys.* **B489** (1997) 122–138, arXiv:hep-th/9610111 [hep-th].

[12] P. S. Aspinwall, "Point - like instantons and the spin (32) / Z(2) heterotic string," *Nucl. Phys.* **B496** (1997) 149–176, arXiv:hep-th/9612108 [hep-th].

[13] P. S. Aspinwall and D. R. Morrison, "Point - like instantons on K3 orbifolds," *Nucl. Phys.* **B503** (1997) 533–564, arXiv:hep-th/9705104 [hep-th].

[14] A. Sen, "A Note on enhanced gauge symmetries in M and string theory," *JHEP* **09** (1997) 001, arXiv:hep-th/9707123 [hep-th].

[15] A. Sen, "Stable nonBPS bound states of BPS D-branes," *JHEP* **08** (1998) 010, arXiv:hep-th/9805019 [hep-th].

[16] A. Kapustin, "D(n) quivers from branes," *JHEP* **12** (1998) 015, arXiv:hep-th/9806238 [hep-th].

[17] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni, "Issues on orientifolds: On the brane construction of gauge theories with SO(2n) global symmetry," *JHEP* **07** (1999) 009, arXiv:hep-th/9903242 [hep-th].

[18] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, "Anomaly Cancellation in Supersymmetric D=10 Gauge Theory and Superstring Theory," *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 117–122.

[19] A. Sagnotti, "A Note on the Green-Schwarz mechanism in open string theories," *Phys. Lett.* **B294** (1992) 196–203, arXiv:hep-th/9210127 [hep-th].

[20] N. S. Manton, "A Remark on the Scattering of BPS Monopoles," *Phys. Lett.* **B110** (1982) 54–56.

[21] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. G. Drinfeld, and Yu. I. Manin, "Construction of Instantons," *Phys. Lett.* **A65** (1978) 185–187.

[22] M. Del Zotto, J. J. Heckman, A. Tomasiello, and C. Vafa, "6d Conformal Matter," *JHEP* **02** (2015) 054, arXiv:1407.6359 [hep-th].

[23] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, "6d $\mathcal{N} = (1,\ 0)$ theories on S^1 /T^2 and class S theories: part II," *JHEP* **12** (2015) 131, arXiv:1508.00915 [hep-th].

[24] H. Hayashi, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee, M. Taki, and F. Yagi, "More on 5d descriptions of 6d SCFTs," *JHEP* **10** (2016) 126, arXiv:1512.08239 [hep-th].

[25] J. Kim, S. Kim, and K. Lee, "Little strings and T-duality," *JHEP* **02** (2016) 170, arXiv:1503.07277 [hep-th].

[26] S. Hohenegger, A. Iqbal, and S.-J. Rey, "Instanton-monopole correspondence from M-branes on $\mathbb{S}^1$ and little string theory," *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 6, (2016) 066016, arXiv:1511.02787 [hep-th].

[27] S. Hohenegger, A. Iqbal, and S.-J. Rey, "Self-Duality and Self-Similarity of Little String Orbifolds," *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 4, (2016) 046006, arXiv:1605.02591 [hep-th].

[28] S. Hohenegger, A. Iqbal, and S.-J. Rey, "Dual Little Strings from F-Theory and Flop Transitions," arXiv:1610.07916 [hep-th].

[29] N. J. Evans, C. V. Johnson, and A. D. Shapere, "Orientifolds, branes, and duality of 4-D gauge theories," *Nucl. Phys.* **B505** (1997) 251–271, arXiv:hep-th/9703210 [hep-th].

[30] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, "Elliptic genera of two-dimensional N=2 gauge theories with rank-one gauge groups," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104** (2014) 465–493, arXiv:1305.0533 [hep-th].

[31] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, "Elliptic Genera of 2d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theories," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **333** no. 3, (2015) 1241–1286, arXiv:1308.4896 [hep-th].

[32] A. Klemm, P. Mayr, and C. Vafa, "BPS states of exceptional noncritical strings," arXiv:hep-th/9607139 [hep-th]. [Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.58,177(1997)].

[33] B. Haghighat, G. Lockhart, and C. Vafa, "Fusing E-strings to heterotic strings: E+E→H," *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 12, (2014) 126012, arXiv:1406.0850 [hep-th].

[34] J. Kim, S. Kim, K. Lee, J. Park, and C. Vafa, "Elliptic Genus of E-strings," arXiv:1411.2324 [hep-th].

[35] J. Kim, S. Kim, and K. Lee, "Higgsing towards E-strings," arXiv:1510.03128 [hep-th].

[36] Y. Yun, "Testing 5d-6d dualities with fractional D-branes," *JHEP* **12** (2016) 016, arXiv:1607.07615 [hep-th].

[37] H. Hayashi, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee, and F. Yagi, "Equivalence of several descriptions for 6d SCFT," arXiv:1607.07786 [hep-th].

[38] B. Haghighat, A. Klemm, G. Lockhart, and C. Vafa, "Strings of Minimal 6d SCFTs," *Fortsch. Phys.* **63** (2015) 294–322, arXiv:1412.3152 [hep-th].

[39] M. R. Douglas and G. Moore, "D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons," (1996) , arXiv:hep-th/9603167.

[40] N. A. Nekrasov, "Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** no. 5, (2003) 831–864, arXiv:hep-th/0206161 [hep-th].

[41] R. Flume and R. Poghossian, "An Algorithm for the microscopic evaluation of the coefficients of the Seiberg-Witten prepotential," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A18** (2003) 2541, arXiv:hep-th/0208176 [hep-th].

[42] U. Bruzzo, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and A. Tanzini, "Multiinstanton calculus and equivariant cohomology," *JHEP* **05** (2003) 054, arXiv:hep-th/0211108 [hep-th].

[43] L. Bao, V. Mitev, E. Pomoni, M. Taki, and F. Yagi, "Non-Lagrangian Theories from Brane Junctions," *JHEP* **01** (2014) 175, arXiv:1310.3841 [hep-th].

[44] H. Hayashi, H.-C. Kim, and T. Nishinaka, "Topological strings and 5d T_N partition functions," *JHEP* **06** (2014) 014, arXiv:1310.3854 [hep-th].

[45] H.-C. Kim, S. Kim, and J. Park, "6d strings from new chiral gauge theories," arXiv:1608.03919 [hep-th].

[46] M. Del Zotto and G. Lockhart, "On Exceptional Instanton Strings," arXiv:1609.00310 [hep-th].

[47] Hee-Cheol Kim, Joonho Kim, Seok Kim, and Jaemo Park, *work in progress.*